McNair & Sanford, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

MADISON OFFICE BUILDING/SUITE 400 1155 FIFTEENTH STREET, NORTHWEST WASHINGTON, DC 20005

> TELEPHONE 202/659-3900 FACSIMILE 202/659-5763

CHARLESTON OFFICE

140 EAST BAY STREET POST OFFICE BOX 1431 CHARLESTON, SC 29402 TELEPHONE 803/723-7831 FACSIMILE 803/722-3227

COLUMBIA OFFICE

April 6, 1994

DOCKET ELLE COPY ORIGINAL EIGH OFFICE RALEIGH FEDERAL BUILDING
ONE EXCHANGE PLAZA
SUITE 810
POST OFFICE BOX 2447
RALEIGH, NC 27602
TELEPHONE 919/800-4190
FACSIMILE 919/800-4180

121 SCREVEN STREET POST OFFICE DRAWER 418 GEORGETOWN, SC 29442 TELEPHONE 803/546-6102 FACSIMILE 803/546-0096

NATIONSBANK PLAZA SUITE 601 7 NORTH LAURENS STREET GREENVILLE. SC 29601 TELEPHONE 803/271-4940 FACSIMILE 803/271-4015

SPARTANINURG OFFICE

SPARTAN CENTRE/SUITE 306 101 WEST ST. JOHN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 5137 SPARTANBLIPB, SC 29304 TELEPHONE 803/542-1300 FACSIMILE 803/542-0705

GREENVILLE OFFICE NATIONSBANK PLAZA

NATIONSBANK TOWER 1301 GERWAIS STREET POST OFFICE BOX 11390 COLUMBIA, SC 29211 TELEPHONE 803/799-9800 FACSIMILE 803/799-9804

RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mr. William F. Caton

Secretary

Federal Communications Com **hission**

Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

MM Docket No. 93-107

Channel 280% Westerville, Ohio

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. are an original and eleven (11) copies of its "Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend" filed by Shellee F. Davis on March 28, 1994.

Please contact the undersigned in our Washington, D.C. office.

Respectfully submitted,

MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

T. Yelverton Stephen

Enclosure

B: CATON. 133

LISTABODE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

through

BPH-911231MB

MM Docket No. 93-107

File Nos. BPH-911230MA

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS

In re Applications of:

DAVID A. RINGER

et al.,

Applications for Construction Permit for a New FM Station, Channel 280A, Westerville, Ohio

To: The Review Board

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND

Respectfully submitted, MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

By:

Stephen T. Yelverton
Attorneys for Ohio Radio
Associates, Inc.
1155 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 659-3900

April 6, 1994

B:CATON.133

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND

Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. ("ORA"), by its attorneys, pursuant to Section 1.294 (b) of the Commission's Rules, hereby submits this "Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend." On March 28, 1994, Shellee F. Davis ("Davis") filed a "Motion for Leave to Amend" and related amendment.

ORA requests leave to file this opposition. Although the Commission has stayed or frozen the integration aspect of comparative hearings, the basic qualifying and non-integration aspects appear to remain unaffected. See, FCC Public Notice, FCC 94-41, released Pebruary 25, 1994. Because Davis' amendment raises certain basic qualifying and non-integration matters, a response is appropriate. In support of its opposition, ORA offers the following comments.

In her motion for leave to amend, Davis seeks to amend her application to report that her proposed tower site has been sold by Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. to Spirit Communications, Inc. Davis further represents that she has received "reasonable assurance" of the availability of the tower site from the new owner.

ORA opposes acceptance of the amendment until Davis supplies additional information about the sale of her proposed tower site. Davis fails to disclose when the tower site was sold. Although Davis attached a copy of a letter, dated March 2, 1994, from Mid-Ohio, indicating that the tower site had been sold, the letter does not state when the site was sold. Moreover, Davis fails to state when she first became aware that the tower site had been sold.

Such information is necessary to determine whether Davis timely reported the loss of her tower site within thirty (30) days, pursuant to Section 1.65, and whether she has acted with "due diligence" in obtaining "reasonable assurance" from the new owner. See, National Communications Industries, 6 FCC Rcd 1978, para. 4 (Rev. Bd. 1991); Marlin Broadcasting of Central Florida, Inc., 5 FCC Rcd 5751, 5753, n. 9 (1990); Brownfield Broadcasting Corp., 88 FCC2d 1054, 1058 (1982).

Davis further fails to provide a copy of her written agreement with the new tower site owner (if one exists), or a written summary of an oral agreement. Review of the tower site agreement would be necessary to determine whether her

technical proposal has changed in any way, such as a change in the transmitter height, in order to accommodate use of the tower by the new owner. A change in Davis' technical proposal could raise basic qualifying issues, or signal coverage issues.

Review of the new tower site agreement would also be necessary to determine whether Davis' cost estimates are now inadequate because of an increase in the lease payments by the new owner. If Davis' lease payments have increased beyond her stated availability of funds, then financial qualifications issues would be raised.

Under established Commission precedent, a post-designation amendment can not be accepted if acceptance would require the specification of new issues, require additional hearings, or allow the petitioner to gain a comparative signal coverage advantage. See, Section 73.3522(b); Erwin O'Connor Broadcasting Co., 22 FCC2d 142, 143 (Rev. Bd. 1970). Davis has simply failed to provide sufficient information about the sale of her proposed tower site and the obtaining of a new tower site agreement in order for the Commission to make an informed judgment as to whether additional issues or hearings would be required by acceptance of her amendment.

The amendment of Davis must not be accepted on another basis. The March 2, 1994, letter submitted with the amendment indicates that Davis never had "reasonable assurance" from Mid-Ohio of her proposed tower site. The letter states in pertinent part that Mid-Ohio had only been "willing to negotiate" with Davis a "possible" lease of the tower site. However, a mere possibility that a site will be available is not sufficient. William F. and Anne K. Wallace, 49 FCC2d 1424, 1427 (Rev. Bd. 1974); National Communications Industries, para. 9. More than a vague "willingness to deal" is needed to constitute "reasonable assurance." Progressive Communications, Inc., 3 FCC Rcd 5758, 5759, para. 9 (Rev. Bd. 1988). See also, ORA's exceptions, paras. 82-84, filed December 20, 1993.

It is axiomatic that an applicant must have "reasonable assurance" of the availability of its proposed tower site at the time of initially filing its

application. Rem Malloy, 6 FCC Rcd 5843, 5846, para. 15 (Rev. Bd. 1991); Adlai E. Stevenson IV, 5 FCC Rcd 1588, 1589, para. 7 (Rev. Bd. 1990); Radio Delaware Inc., 4 FCC Rcd 8630, 8631, para. 9 (Rev. Bd. 1989). Accordingly, unless Davis demonstrates that she had "reasonable assurance" of her proposed tower site at the time of initially filing her application, the amendment must not be accepted.

WHEREFORE, in view of the foregoing, the Review Board is requested to not accept the amendment of Davis.

Respectfully submitted,

MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

Stephen T. Yelverton

April 6, 1994

020970.00001

ORA.464

Nry & Maller Co., A.P.A.

Attorneys and Counsellors at Law

36 EAST LIVINGGEON AV-NUG, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 5762 TELEPHONE 614/2/4-2300 EAX NO. 614/228-6880

ROBERT H. GRIFFIN, JR. BRYAN L. JEFFRIES

OF COUNSEL. DAVID M. BUDA

CARL B. FRY

BARRY A. WALLER

GEORGE R. MSCANN

March 2, 1994

Ms. Shellee F. Davis
Britt Business Systems, Inc.
415 E. Broad St., Suite 100
Columbus, OH 43215

RE: Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. / WBBY-FM / Lease of Assets

Dear Ms. Davis:

This correspondence is to advise you that all of the real estate and personal property owned by Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. or affiliated companies which was utilized in regard to the broadcast operation of WRBY-FM has been sold Communications, Inc. As you are aware, it has been over two years since the previous owner advised you that if you were awarded the construction permit for the frequency that the previous owner would be willing to negotiate with you regarding the possible lease of the real estate and/or personal property previously involved with the operation of the station. The previous owner has never been advised by you or anyone that a new constructive permit has been issued in regard to the frequency, and the real estate and personal property has now been sold. I am unaware of the new owner's intent in regard to the real estate or the personal property and if you wish to discuss the matter with the new owner, please contact Mr. John Shumate, Spirit Communications, Inc., 114 Dorchester Square, Westerville, Ohio 43081.

Since the real estate and personal property are no longer available for lease by Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc., or affiliated companies, you need to make appropriate arrangements as you deem necessary or as may be legally required in regard to your Application filed with the PCC. If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely

CART B PRO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen T. Yelverton, an attorney in the law firm of McNair & Sanford, P.A., do hereby certify that on this 6th day of April, 1994, I have caused to be hand delivered or mailed, U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing "Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend" to the following:

Joseph A. Marino, Chairman*
Review Board
Federal Communications Commission
Room 211
2000 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Shook, Esquire
Hearing Branch
Federal Communications Commission
Room 7212
2025 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Arthur V. Belenduik, Esquire Smithwick & Belenduik, P.C. 1990 M Street, N.W. Suite 510 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for David A. Ringer

James A. Koerner, Esquire Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg, P.C. 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20015-2003 Counsel for ASF Broadcasting Corp.

Eric S. Kravetz, Esquire Brown, Finn & Nietert, Chartered 1920 N Street, N.W. Suite 660 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Wilburn Industries, Inc.

Dan J. Alpert, Esquire Law Office of Dan J. Alpert 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Shellee F. Davis

Stephen T. Yelverton